

Notes from the PC meeting on June 2

Pg. 2-1—Remind Kevin – clarification on ACCESSORY and number of farm business. Size of building and amount of employees, parking etc. Linking the definitions on pp. 2-1 and 2-2 to discussion in section 1102.

Should we list aquaculture under agriculture?

Pg.2-2—Definition of all-weather durable surface—should be “im—permeable?”

It would be clearer to just have dwelling unit definitions just reference the dwelling unit type on p. 2-7. E.g., APARTMENT. See Dwelling Unit Type.

ATV—licensee instead of license

Pg. 2-3—Automobile SALES—mis-typed

2-3—Building—should definition of building be consistent with UCC?

Should say “mobile homes”—not trailers

Inconsistency in building height—1st definition now in place doesn’t allow building to go as high

2nd building height – average to roof—more permissible

Concern on how relate—maybe be able to go higher. Maybe should have in village—25% higher.

After long discussion..*leaning toward keeping present definition*

Communications Ordinance—11-23

Tower 200 feet high-max + height of silo.

Word preferable—should be insead

****Pg 2-3—building line—1st—mobile home park**

Suggest we drop first definition, keep second but amend

“any structure to be erected or placed”

Pg. 2-4—cartway—good definition

Need definition for Commercial use?

Community supported ag. Definition.

Period after produce or get rid of whole definition

P. 2-5

**Define the distinction between RESIDENTIAL for personal use and —
COMMERCIAL**

We had talked about composting as Conditional use in R1 & R2..by right in Industrial

This prompted a discussion of what happens if a use is not specifically permitted. Part 7-2 #25—any other use if not permitted in this chapter—can be by conditional use.

2-5—Day Care Center—Family Day Care—Home Occupation

The discussion of day care caused us to review and reconsider the whole question of home occupation. See Section 1109

We don't see how dropoff for a family day care is consistent with normal traffic to a residential property.

Our preliminary decision to just allow “no impact” home occupations probably won't fly. But, this gets us into same can of worms over parking, traffic etc that we have for ag business.

Not comfortable moving so far away from our existing rather permissive treatment of home occupations

Related issue raised before – The way uses are listed at the start of each district is confusing in terms of which is a primary use (only one permitted) and which use is permitted as accessory to a primary use.

P. 2-6 DUMP – do we need such a definition and if so shouldn't it be updated?D